1 2 3 4 5	Christopher Chapin (SBN 112608) LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT S. BESSER 1221 Second Street, Suite 300 Santa Monica, California 90401 TEL: (310) 394-6611 Fax: (310) 394-6613 rsbesser@aol.com christopherchapin@aol.com	
7	CBS STUDIOS INC.	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DELRAY RICHARDSON p/k/a DELRAY Case No. CV12-7925 ABC (S d/b/a DEL FUNK BOY MUSIC, an Honorable Audrey B. Colli	
12		.115
13	an individual, REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS'	
14		is"
15	vsand- SEPARATE STATEMENT OF CBS STUDIOS INC., d/b/a CBS "UNDISPUTED FACTS" IN	
16 17	TELEVISION DISTRIBUTION, a OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S division of CBS CORPORATION, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGME	
18	<u> </u>	2013
19		
20	Roybal Building	Í
21		
22		
23	3	
24		
25	5	
26	-1-	
27		
28	UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS -and- SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISP FACTS IN OPPOSITION MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	UTED

DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO 1 2 PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS 3 Undisputed Fact No. 1 4 "Jean-Yves Ducornet ("Jeeve") is a composer and a one-half 5 owner of the copyrights which Plantiffs alleged have been 6 infringed." 7 Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response 8 Plaintiffs have agreed that this is UNDISPUTED. 9 Plaintiffs go on with a lengthy "however" argument which 10 does not refer to any proof of a dispute with respect to this 11 fact. Nor does it take away from their agreement with 12 Defendant's Undisputed Fact No. 1. 13 The argument offered in support of Plaintiffs' statements 14 regarding Undisputed Fact No. 1 is merely opinion with no 15 citations to evidence. 16 Their "supporting evidence" is not authenticated, immaterial 17 and contains improper legal argument. 18 Undisputed Fact No. 2 19 "At all relevant times, PEN Music Group, Inc. ("PEN") had 20 the right to provide non-exclusive licenses of any or all of 21 Jeeve's works (including those of which he is a co-owner) to 22 third parties for uses in all media." 23 24 25 -2-26 27

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

Plaintiffs dispute this fact, but their reason is non-responsive and their supporting evidence inadmissible. Further, Plaintiffs offer no evidence that contradicts the fact that PEN administered Jeeves catalogue of works.

Undisputed Fact No. 3

"Pursuant to his administration agreement with PEN, Jeeve delivered certain of his musical works (created either alone or with Richardson to PEN and PEN in turn delivered each of the works to Anisa Productions, Inc. (formerly known as Supermodel, Inc.), the producer of a television show entitled 'America's Next Top Model' for review and decision about which and how much they wanted to use."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

Plaintiffs dispute this fact on the basis that they "have never see [sic] any administration agreement." This is clearly insufficient to create a triable issue, nor does it even address the substance of the Undisputed Fact. Their supporting evidence is inadmissible as discussed more fully in Defendant's Objections and Motion to Strike Declaration of Delray Richardson ("Def.'s Obj. to Richardson Decl.")

¹ For this and all other references to evidentiary legal arguments, please refer to Defendant's Objections and Motion to Strike the Declaration of Delray Richardson.

⁻³⁻

Undisputed Fact No. 4

"The producers of ANTM would select tracks that they wanted to use in ANTM and PEN would issue licenses for those tracks."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

Again, Plaintiffs' denial has nothing to do with the basic fact at issue-the procedure used by PEN to license music for use in ANTM. Plaintiffs have failed to assert any facts or evidence that would dispute this fact.

Undisputed Fact No. 5

"Richardson has admitted that the only possible source of any of the Plaintiffs' Works that appeared in ANTM was PEN."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

Plaintiffs dispute this fact, but ignore that Richardson testified at his deposition that the only source of the music he heard being played on television would have been from PEN. See Deposition of Delray Richardson, p. 40, l. 15 through p. 41, l. 24. Further, Plaintiffs offer no evidence that the music could have been from any other source.

Undisputed Fact No. 6

"Plaintiffs do not claim that any of Plaintiffs' Works were secured by the ANTM producer from any other source."

Plaintiffs Response

Plaintiffs dispute this fact but offer a non-responsive reason and inadmissible evidence. Again, no evidence of any

-4-

1 other possible source is offered 2 Undisputed Fact No. 7 3 Ultimately, CBS received the copyrights to all episodes of 4 ANTM, including rights to the music used therein, from the producer. 5 6 Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response 7 This is another non-responsive denial based on inadmissible 8 evidence. 9 Undisputed Fact No. 8 10 "After Jeeve created each of the musical compositions at 11 issue, he gave them to Richardson without titles with the idea 12 that Richardson would add additional material." 13 Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response 14 "Plaintiff [sic] denies all truthfulness of this statement." 15 This statement, followed by unsworn "testimony" by 16 Richardson is clearly ineffective at creating an issue of 17 material fact. S.A. Empresa de Viacao Aerea Rio Grandense v. 18 Walter Kidde & Co., Inc., 690 F. 2d 1235, 1238 (9th Cir. 1982) 19 Undisputed Fact No. 9 20 "Richardson then assigned his own titles to the tracks 21 without Jeeve or PEN's knowledge." 22 Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response 23 "Plaintiff [sic] denies all truthfulness of this statement." 24 This statement, followed by unsworn "testimony" by 25 -5-26 27 REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S

Richardson is clearly ineffective at creating an issue of material fact. S.A. Empresa de Viacao Aerea Rio Grandense v. Walter Kidde & Co., Inc., 690 F. 2d 1235, 1238 (9th Cir. 1982) Undisputed Fact No. 10

"When PEN licensed the tracks, it did not do so under the titles arbitrarily assigned by Richardson."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

"Plaintiff [sic] denies all truthfulness of this statement."

The ensuing discourse on what Jeeve "admitted" is inadmissible hearsay because it is submitted to prove the truth of what a third party said in an out of court statement and Jeeve is not a party to this action. FRE 801(c).

Undisputed Fact No. 11

"Richardson refused to provide CBS an exact copy of what he deposited with the Copyright Office, instead providing a compact disc which he said he created after he was asked to produce the 'deposit copy' in discovery in this case."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

"Plaintiff [sic] denies all truthfulness of this statement."

Once again, this statement is followed by unsworn testimony,

none of which is contained in Richardson's Declaration. S.A.

Empresa de Viacao Aerea Rio Grandense v. Walter Kidde & Co.,

Inc., 690 F. 2d 1235, 1238 (9th Cir. 1982) The pages and lines

from his deposition do not say what he says they do.

-6-

Undisputed Fact No. 12

"Richardson admitted that the deposit copies he filed did not contain any identification by title of the 11 individual tracks that were supposedly included in the "Del Funk Boy Music and Paperwork Production tracks library."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

This is non-responsive and does not address the substance of the undisputed fact. Further, it ignores Mr. Richardson's express admission at page 21 of his deposition that he did not assign any titles to the works.

Undisputed Fact No. 13

"None of the copyrights were registered until years after the alleged infringement in 2003."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

Plaintiffs claim to dispute this fact but offer only argument about the statute of limitations, an issue which Defendant has not raised. Plaintiffs have failed to assert any facts or evidence that would dispute this fact.

Undisputed Fact No. 14

"Plaintiffs do not have any admissible proof that they suffered any loss because of the alleged infringements."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

Plaintiffs dispute this and state that they "have proof

Defendant falsified cue sheets and Defendant is benefitting from

-7-

the falsified cue sheet [sic]." Such proof is not only nonexistent, it does not relate to losses that Plaintiffs might have suffered if in fact infringement occurred.

Undisputed Fact No. 15

"Plaintiffs have no proof of a causal connection between the use of small portions of instrumental, incidental music and any profits made by CBS on any particular episode of ANTM, or on all of the episodes."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

Plaintiffs dispute this fact with citations to articles and a receipt from Best Buy which are inadmissible hearsay. Further, the articles are talking about generalities and are therefore irrelevant to this undisputed fact. Plaintiffs are required to show Defendants' profits in order to recover these kind of damages, but they have offered no such evidence. Plaintiffs have failed to assert any facts or evidence that would dispute this fact.

Undisputed Fact No. 16

"As to the Snyder Works, there is no dispute that what was licensed was the instrumental version of the two works that Jeeve created before the Snyder Works were produced."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

The response is unsworn testimony. Plaintiffs supporting evidence consists of unauthenticated documents attached to the

-8-

Declaration of Delray Richardson. No evidence is offered that anything but the instrumental version was licensed.

Undisputed Fact No. 17

"The alleged infringements of 'Love You So' and 'I Don't
Care' occurred in Episode 1, 5 and 9 of Season of the show, the
latest of which (Episode 9) was first broadcast on July 8, 2003."

<u>Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response</u>

Plaintiffs' response is completely non-responsive and Plaintiffs have offered no evidence to dispute this undisputed fact which establishes that Plaintiffs have no legal right to statutory damages.

Undisputed Fact No. 18

"The alleged infringements of the two Snyder Works first occurred in Episode 11 of Season 2, broadcast in 2004 and Episode 7 of Season 8, broadcast in 2007."

Defendant's Reply to Plaintiffs' Response

Again, "Plaintiff [sic] denies all truthfulness of this statement" without facts or other evidence, only unsworn testimony/legal argument. Plaintiffs' response does not alter the fact that Plaintiffs are not entitled to statutory damages because the alleged infringements occurred years before the purported copyright was obtained. Plaintiffs have failed to assert any facts or evidence that would dispute this fact.

-9-

1 DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO 2 PLAINTIFF'S "SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED 3 FACTS IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT" 4 Undisputed Facts No. 1 through 8 5 "The Musical Work 'Love You So' (Original Version) is 6 performed in episode 109 from 32:14 until 33:37 but is not 7 covered by any license or Schedule "A" authorizing its use by the 8 Defendant or its affiliates." 9 The remaining Fact Nos. 2 through 8 are identical except 10 referring to different songs and playing times. 11 Defendant's Response and Objections to Supporting Evidence 12 Plaintiffs have no admissible evidence to support these 13 statements, relying on the same Paragraph 20 of the Declaration 14 of Delray Richardson and the same items they rely upon in 15 "disputing" Defendant's Undisputed Facts. 16 Exhibit 16 was "provided by Defendants" in full and not with 17 missing pages as attached to the Richardson Decl. FRE 106. 18 Declaration of Robert S. Besser, ¶ 9. 19 It does not appear that Plaintiffs have provided the Court 20 with a copy of "CBS Cycle 1 DVD of America's Next Top Model" and 21 even if they have, the Court is not required to view hours of 22 video to confirm Plaintiffs' assertion. 23 24 25 -10-26

27

Undisputed Fact No. 9

"Jean-Jean [sic] Ducornet and Pen Music, Inc are being allowed to collect fraudulent fees and royalties from ASCAP based on falsified cue sheets prepared by the Defendant's employee music operations manager Donald Steever."

Defendant's Response and Objections

This "fact" is nothing more than an allegation based entirely on inadmissible hearsay (what Jeeve might have said to Richardson). In addition, neither Jean-Yves Ducornet nor Pen Music, Inc. are parties to this action.

Undisputed Fact Nos. 10 and 11

No. 10: "July 17th 2011 @ 5:P12 a.m. Jean-Yves Ducornet admits to Delray RIchardson and Pen Music, Inc that 'cue sheet 109 list [sic] more songs than were used' and 'This issue is beyond his control."

No. 11: "Jean-Yves Ducornet conceded that 'Someone at UPN is to blame for this huge mistake, not me' and hundreds of other writer's would've also gotten paid by mistake, or not paid at all when they should have' and 'There's no way to know what happen [sic] right now until one of us walks into the CBS office with the DVD and the Cue Sheet. It's that simple.'"

Defendant's Response and Objections

Again, the evidentiary support for these statements is entirely inadmissible hearsay under FRE 801(c).

-11-

1 Undisputed Fact No. 12 2 "CBS has given artist songwriting credits that actually 3 belong to other artists based on their falsified cue sheets 4 prepared by the Defendant's employee music operations Donald 5 Steever." 6 Defendant's Response and Objections 7 This statement is based on inadmissible hearsay, contains 8 legal contentions and is pure speculation. 9 Respectfully submitted, Dated: September 16, 2013 10 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT S. BESSER 11 12 /s/ Robert S. Besser By: ROBERT S. BESSER 13 Attorneys for Defendant CBS STUDIOS INC. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 -12-26 27 REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S

UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS -and- SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED

FACTS IN OPPOSITION MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA: 3 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES: 4 I am a member of the Bar of the State of California. over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My 5 business address is 1221 Second Street, Suite 300, Santa Monica, California 90401. 6 On this date, I served the foregoing document described as 7 REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS -and- SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN OPPOSITION 8 MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT on all interested parties in this action by: 9 [XX] BY MAIL: placing a true and correct copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage fully pre-paid and addressed as follows: 11 Delray Richardson, In Pro Per 12 Sterling A. Snyder, In Pro Per 2191 East 21st Street Box K 13 Signal Hill, CA 90755 14 I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit stated in this affidavit. 16 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the 17 United States that the foregoing is true and correct. on September 16, 2013, at San Rafael, California. 18 /s/ Christopher Chapin 19 CHRISTOPHER CHAPIN 20 21 22 23 24 25 -13-26 27 REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS -and- SEPARATE STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED

FACTS IN OPPOSITION MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT